

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY	(CI)	
RI	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 1150038 DATE	: <u>08/17/2006</u>	ARRIVE: ~ 8:45 am	DEPART: <u>~ 9:30 am</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CEME	X VENICE BATCH PLANT	,		
FACILITY LOCATION:	435 Seaboard Avenue			
	VENICE 34292			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL	L: DANIEL BEATTY	PHONE:	(239)597-3162	
CONTACT NAME: Dan Beatty		PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: 20	006 ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: 12/5/2005 (effective date)	/ 9/14/2009 (end date)	
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT	'Non-COMPLIANCE	
(check ☑ appropriate be		<u> 1ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.	C.	
		site visit according to EPA Metho		
2. Are emissions from s	ilos, weigh hoppers (batchers)), and other enclosed storage and		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?				
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? \bigsim Yes \bigsim No				
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then				
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and	continue on to question 5.)		\(\big Yes \(\big \) No	
		the visible emissions test?ing rate representative of the norm	\Boxed Yes \Boxed No mal batching rate and	
duration?				
from the silo dust col	lector, are the visible emission	ns tests of the weigh hopper (batc	-	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~						
<u>Unconfined</u> Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
	1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by:						
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and						
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, st		⊠Yes □ No				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-						
emissions?						
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other						
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to		⊠Yes □ No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wi						
particulate matter from stock piles?						
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	e emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes ∐ No				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	24.00 02 24.00 00 (-)(-),,					
110 110 01 110 110 110 110 110 110 110						
Since the last inspection has there been						
a) installation of any new process equipment?		∏Yes ⊠ No				
b) alterations to existing process equipment without re	enlacement?	☐Yes ⊠ No				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diff						
recent notification form?	□Yes ⊠ No					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.05						
local program office?	□Yes □ No					
local program office:						
Debbie Telemeco-Anders, ESII	08/17/2006					
Debbie Teiemeco-Anders, Esti	00/1//2000					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	_				
•	•					
	~ 2007					
		_				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: Witnessed visible emissions compliance test con-	ducted by Dan Reatty					